

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/964,312	09/26/2001	Cam Reed JR.	HO-P02301US0	9919		
26271	7590 05/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			COLE, LA	COLE, LAURA C		
1301 MCKIN SUITE 5100	INEY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095			1744			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	an No	Applicant/s)			
		Application	ni No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cumpment		09/964,31	2	REED, CAM			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
-		Laura C C		1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. O) days, a reply within the statututory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the apple	ent, however, may a reply be ting utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this of			
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>19 March 2004</i> .					
<u> </u>	•						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 19 December Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	· <u>2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ action to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the ce	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume hal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
· ==	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	,			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pimation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ler No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PT0	O-152)		

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 March 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chisholm, USPN 4,640,540.

Chisholm discloses a gutter cleaning tool that comprises a scooping head (Figures 1-5 (10)) having a blade end (leftmost side of Figure 2) and a head attachment end (Figure 2 (18)) wherein the blade end is curved (Figures 1-4), a handle having a gripping end (Figures 1 and 2 (20)), and zero attachment poles. Figure 1 (20) is considered a "pole body" that is affixed to the scooping head. Further, the scooping head comprises a blade having a concave face and a convex back (see Figures), the blade end having a longitudinal axis (see Figures), a head attachment end having a

Art Unit: 1744

longitudinal axis (20, at joint where "20" is indicated in Figure 5), the axis being substantially parallel to the blade end longitudinal axis (see Figure 2), the handle attachment end and the blade end connected in a manner (see Figures). The device of Chisholm is integrally formed (see Figures).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith, USPN 4,848,818.

Smith discloses a gutter cleaning tool that comprises a scooping head having a blade end (Figure 3, leftmost portion of scoop (10)) and a head attachment end (Figure 3 (12)) wherein the blade end is curved (Figure 3), a handle having a gripping end and a handle attachment end (Figures 1-2 and 5 (16) wherein the attachment end is the threads shown in Figure 5), and an attachment pole joint (Figure 2 (14A and 14B) comprise the attachment ends, also see Figures 3-5). Further, the scooping head comprises a blade having a concave face and a convex back (as shown in Figure 3), the blade end having a longitudinal axis (see all Figures), a head attachment end having a longitudinal axis (14A), the axis being substantially parallel to the blade end longitudinal axis (see Figure 2, the two positions marked in dash lines wherein Figure 2 resembles "the range of selectable positions of the tool around the multi-positional joint

Art Unit: 1744

with additional positions...", Column 3 Lines 17-19), the handle attachment end and the blade end connected in a manner (see Figures). Again, Smith teaches a range of desirable positions for cleaning the gutter based on a non-integral pivoting joint that includes the head attachment (14A).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the joint of Smith to be integral with the scooping head and blade as it may desirous for using the gutter cleaning tool singularly in one position. Further, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the blade end and head attachment end to be integrally formed, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

4. Claims 2, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, USPN 4,848,818 in view of Malless, Jr., USPN 4,447,927.

Smith discloses all elements above, however does not provide cleaning bristles positioned on the blade end.

Malless, Jr. discloses a gutter cleaning apparatus that comprises a gutter cleaning apparatus including bristles positioned on the blade end (24) and (26) to aid in removing debris from gutters (Column 1 Lines 48-63). Further, the portion (48) which is considered as a head attachment end is not parallel to the handle attachment end (50; Column 3 Lines 24-26).

Art Unit: 1744

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Smith by placing cleaning bristles, as Malless, Jr. teaches, on the blade end as an additional and effective means for cleaning debris from a gutter.

5. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, USPN 4,848,818 in view of Beers, Jr. et al., USPN 6,393,646.

Smith discloses all elements above, however does not disclose a rubber grip on a gripping end.

Beers, Jr. et al. disclose a golf green brush that has a handle (Figures 1-2 (20)) with a rubber grip portion (Figures 1-2 (40); Column 2 Lines 7-16).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the gripping portion of the handle of Smith by adding a rubber grip as Beers, Jr. et al. teach so that the user has a comfortable grip when manually cleaning a surface.

6. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, USPN 4,848,818 in view of Malless, Jr., USPN 4,447,927.

Smith and Malless, Jr. disclose all elements above, however Smith does not provide specific materials for the scooping head or attachment pole.

Malless, Jr. discloses a gutter cleaning apparatus that comprises a scooping head having a blade end and a head attachment end (Figure 1 (12); Column 2 Lines 28-30) and made of plastic (Column 3 Lines 37-40), a handle (Figure 1 (50)) having a gripping end (outer circumference may be gripped) and a handle attachment end (lowermost portion of (50)), and attachment poles made of plastic (Figure 1 and Figure 4; Column 3 Lines 44-46).

Art Unit: 1744

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the gutter sweep that Smith discloses for one with parts made of plastic as Malless, Jr. teaches, so that the cleaner is durable and inexpensive to manufacture.

7. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, USPN 4,848,818.

Smith discloses all elements above, however does not provide specific materials for the scooping head or attachment pole.

It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the materials be from the group wood, plastic, metal, composite material, or a combination of those since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

8. Claims 1, 2, and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malless, Jr., USPN 4,447,927 in view of Smith, USPN 4,848,818.

Malless, Jr. discloses all elements above including a gutter cleaning apparatus that comprises a scooping head having a blade end and a head attachment end (Figure 1 (12); Column 2 Lines 28-30) and made of plastic (Column 3 Lines 37-40), wherein said blade end is curved in a manner allowing the blade end to fit up and over a gutter (the blade end is the left most end of the scoop shown in Figure 1, wherein side walls 18' curve), a handle (Figure 1 (50)) having a gripping end (outer circumference may be gripped) and a handle attachment end (lowermost portion of (50)), attachment poles

Art Unit: 1744

made of plastic (Figure 1 and Figure 4; Column 3 Lines 44-46), a plurality of cleaning bristles (Figure 1 (24), (26), and (38)). Further, Figure 5 displays an attachment pole (32) having a first pole attachment end (34) and a second pole attachment end (34'), the first pole attachment end being detachably affixed to the head attachment end (30) of the scooping head. Even further, Figure 5 displays a handle (pole beneath (32)) having a gripping end and a handle attachment end (upper portion of pole beneath (32)) detachably affixed to the second pole attachment end (34') (see Column 2 Line 60 to Column 3 Line 5). Further the blade end has a concave face and convex back (see Figures), the blade end has a substantially longitudinal axis (the axis at the portion (48)), that axis being *substantially* parallel to a handle axis (50; however the handle (50) and the blade end axis (48) are about 5 degrees off parallel, Column 3 Lines 24-26). The blade end and head attachment are integrally formed (see Figures). Malless, Jr. does not disclose a blade end axis being parallel to the handle longitudinal axis.

Smith discloses all elements above, including the teaching that it is desirable to have an adjustable handle (wherein one position the blade end axis is parallel to the handle longitudinal axis, see Figures) to provide a range of positions depending on the user's preferences (Column 1 Lines 21-30, 62-67).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the attachment portion of Malless, Jr. for the one that Smith teaches in order to provide an arrangement wherein the user can set the position so that the blade end axis is parallel to the handle longitudinal axis to use as desired.

Art Unit: 1744

Page 8

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura C Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1272. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am - 5pm, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

20 April 2004

ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**

Polent 7. Warden S.